UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,603	03/11/2004	Ayumi Hirayama	116692005300	3877
	7590 02/25/200 FOERSTER LLP	EXAMINER		
1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD			BUCHANAN, CHRISTOPHER R	
SUITE 400 MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/797,603	HIRAYAMA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	CHRISTOPHER R. BUCHANAN	3627
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ The solution for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-5,9,13 and 17 is/ 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 6-8,10-12 and 14-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	are withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the least or the second	ccepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati iority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/19/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 6-8, 10-12, and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Timmons et al. (US 2004/0088190) alone.

Regarding claims 6, 10, and 14, Timmons discloses an expense management system including a business partner terminal (data warehouse, 1104, Fig. 2) that sends invoice information (includes billing or debit data, par. 15, par. 25) and an expense management apparatus (generally 700, Fig. 2) that manages the received invoice information (par. 18), wherein the expense management apparatus includes means to calculate debit information (determines charges in their records, par. 18, facility reviews invoice and determines if it is accurate, par. 25) and accuracy determining means for comparing the calculated debit information with the debit information sent from the business partner terminal (charges on invoice are compared to their records, par. 6, par. 18, facility determines if invoice is accurate, i.e., if various charges are correct, par. 25) and determining whether the sent debit information corresponds to the calculated debit information (looks for discrepancies or errors, par. 6, par. 18, par. 25).

Art Unit: 3627

The system of Timmons differs from the claimed invention in that the calculated debit information is not explicitly shown to be based on the sent invoice information.

However, the calculated debit information could be based on a variety of data, such as invoice data, in-store sales records, third party data, etc. The particular data used to calculate the debit information would not affect the nature or functioning of the invention and would be a matter of design choice.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Timmons so that the calculated debit information is based on the sent invoice information, as suggested by design choice, to provide a means for generating debit information when other data sources are not available.

Regarding claim 7, the apparatus includes a notification means to send a verification request to the business partner terminal when it is determined that the calculated and sent debit information do not correspond (facility may request a credit memo if discrepancies are found, par. 18). Regarding claim 8, the apparatus includes estimation means (past records, par. 18) for determining debit information without using invoice information. Regarding claims 11, 12, 15, and 16, the features of the invention recited in these claims have already been addressed in the rejection above.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed December 5, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art reference does not disclose all the recited features of the claimed invention. In particular, applicant argues that the

Art Unit: 3627

Timmons reference does not disclose a calculation means for calculating debit information based on invoice information or an accuracy determination means for comparing calculated debit information and debit note information.

The examiner disagrees and stands by the rejection. As stated in the rejection above, Timmons shows an expense management apparatus (health care facility) that receives invoice and debit note information (i.e., the invoice with an itemized list of items ordered, individual charges and the total bill, par. 14, 15). The apparatus examines charges listed on the invoice and compares them to their records to determine if there are any discrepancies (par. 18, includes finding discrepancies within the invoice itself) to determine if the invoice is accurate (par. 25 line 9-10, accuracy determining means). Among other things, this process would involve performing calculations on various quantities listed on the invoice (individual prices, quantities, totals, etc.) to determine if charges, etc., listed on the invoice are accurate. This would produce calculated debit information which is compared with debit information on the bill. In the examiner's view, this is standard accounting procedure that is in common practice to determine the accuracy of a bill that includes a number of different items and separate charges.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3627

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER R. BUCHANAN whose telephone number is (571)272-8134. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/C. R. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3627

/F. Ryan Zeender/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627